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Objective: To critically assess original research addressing the effect of creatine supplementation on exercise heat tolerance and hydration status.

Data Sources: We searched the electronic databases PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, SPORTDiscus, and Rehabilitation & Physical Medicine, without date limitations, for the following key words: creatine, exercise, thermoregulation, dehydration, hyperthermia, heat tolerance, exertional heat illnesses, and renal function. Our goal was to identify randomized clinical trials investigating the effect of creatine supplementation on hydration status and thermoregulation. Citations from related articles also were identified and retrieved.

Data Synthesis: Original research was reviewed using the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) Scale. One author initially screened all articles. Fifteen of 95 articles examined the effects of creatine on thermoregulation or hydration status (or both). Two independent reviewers then reviewed these articles. Ten studies were selected on the basis of inclusion and exclusion criteria. The PEDro scores for the 10 studies ranged from 7 to 10 points (maximum possible score = 10 points).

Conclusions: No evidence supports the concept that creatine supplementation either hinders the body’s ability to dissipate heat or negatively affects the athlete’s body fluid balance. Controlled experimental trials of athletes exercising in the heat resulted in no adverse effects from creatine supplementation at recommended dosages.
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Key Points

- When recommended amounts were consumed, creatine supplementation did not appear to hinder the body’s ability to dissipate heat or negatively affect body fluid balance.
- Future researchers should evaluate the use of creatine during longer supplementation periods, exercise bouts that simulate games and practices, and more controlled field studies.

Many athletes have turned to nutritional supplements marketed as ergogenic aids to maximize athletic performance. Creatine is a naturally occurring element in the diet; it is also synthesized within the body, primarily by the liver. As a dietary supplement, creatine monohydrate is believed to enhance the resynthesis of adenosine triphosphate and to improve performance in short bouts of exercise. Creatine supplementation has been used by athletes for nearly 20 years, but speculation remains regarding its efficacy, as well as its potential side effects.

When creatine first gained media attention, many adverse events were attributed to its use, including the deaths of 3 National Collegiate Athletic Association wrestlers in 1997. From several media reports on these fatalities and scientific review papers speculated on the possibility that creatine was a key factor leading to death. However, autopsy results determined that exertional heat stroke, not creatine, was responsible for these deaths. Creatine has also been implicated as possibly contributing to the deaths of several football players in recent years, but this suspicion has never been confirmed. Speculation that creatine may have influenced exertional heat stroke has resulted in an examination of its role in exercise heat intolerance.

Aside from the aforementioned media reports on creatine, most anecdotal reports of side effects have described muscle cramping or gastrointestinal distress. Other side effects potentially linked to creatine use include but are not limited to renal damage, susceptibility to muscle strains or cramps, and impairment of thermoregulation. The main concern involves its potential impairment of exercise heat tolerance and hydration status. These anecdotal reports, however, were never supported by clinical evidence.

After the media reports in the late 1990s, the American College of Sports Medicine sponsored a roundtable discussion entitled “The Physiological and Health Effects of Oral Creatine Supplementation.” Roundtable participants advised athletes to avoid creatine supplementation if they were “wishing to control weight” or “subjected to strenuous exercise and/or hot environments.” They also recommended avoiding high dosages of creatine “during periods of increased thermal stress, such as sports activities performed under high ambient temperature/humidity.
conditions.” These recommendations stemmed from the premise that supplementing with creatine can lead to a potentially impaired thermoregulation and altered fluid balance. To our knowledge, however, no scientific evidence existed at that time to support or refute these statements or any of the anecdotally reported side effects.

Theoretically, creatine uptake by the muscles results in an increase in fluid volume within skeletal muscle cells. Whether this increase helps, hinders, or does not influence thermoregulation has not been determined (Figure 1). Yet as a result of anecdotal reports and precautions regarding the potential detrimental effects of creatine supplementation, various researchers investigated the effects of creatine on hydration status and thermoregulation. The studies varied in methods, such as creatine dosages, exercise protocols, and ambient temperatures, making it difficult for a clinician to determine the best evidence-based clinical practice regarding creatine supplementation for
athletes. Therefore, the purpose of our systematic review was to assess the evidence regarding the influence of creatine supplementation on exercise heat tolerance and hydration status.

**METHODS**

**Data Sources**

We searched the following electronic databases with no date limitations: PubMed, Scopus or Web of Science, SPORTDiscus, and Rehabilitation & Physical Medicine. These databases were searched in April 2007 using the following key words: creatine, exercise, thermoregulation, dehydration, hyperthermia, heat tolerance, exertional heat illnesses, and renal function. The search included human studies in English and Spanish but excluded articles pertaining to surgery and alcoholism.

Research articles pertaining to the effects of creatine supplementation on hydration status and thermoregulation were identified. All controlled clinical trials were initially examined. References from these articles and references from past review articles were then cross-referenced to identify additional articles for possible inclusion. Inclusion criteria were experimental studies with washout periods of 28 or more days (crossover experimental design) and dependent variable(s) of hydration or thermoregulatory status with the purpose related to evaluating the effects of creatine supplementation on hydration or thermoregulation or both. Only studies with physically active male or female participants were included. Articles were excluded if they were reviews, addressed nonactive individuals, or used a creatine dosage that was less than 2 g \(\cdot d^{-1}\) or that was administered for fewer than 5 days. Articles receiving a score of less than 7 on the Physiotherapy Evidence Database Scale (PEDro) Scale\(^23\) (Table 1) were also excluded, because a lower score indicated that internal validity or blinding of participants was lacking.

**Quality Assessment**

Authors of 15 of the 95 identified articles examined the effects of creatine on thermoregulatory measures or hydration measures or both. After meeting our inclusion criteria, these 15 articles were reviewed by 2 independent reviewers using the PEDro Scale\(^23\) (Figure 2). This scale consists of a checklist to determine 2 aspects of a study's quality: (1) the internal validity of the trial and (2) whether

---

**Table 1. Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) Scale\(^23\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Eligibility criteria were specified (no points awarded).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Subjects were randomly allocated to groups or order in which treatments received.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Allocation was concealed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>The groups were similar at baseline regarding the most important prognostic indicators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>There was blinding of all subjects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>There was blinding of all assessors who measured at least one key outcome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Measures of at least 1 key outcome were obtained from more than 85% of the subjects initially allocated to groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>All subjects for whom outcome measures were available received the treatment or control condition as allocated or, where this was not the case, data for at least 1 key outcome were analyzed by “intention to treat.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>The result of between-groups statistical comparisons are reported for a least 1 key outcome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>The study provides both point measures and measures of variability for at least 1 key outcome.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

![Figure 2. Criteria for selection of articles for review.](image-url)
Table 2. Studies Investigating the Influence of Creatine on Hydration Status and Exercise Heat Tolerance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Exercise Protocol and Environment (Temperature, Relative Humidity)</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Body Temperature Differences (Creatine Versus No Creatine)</th>
<th>Difference in Hydration Variables</th>
<th>PEDro Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wright et al(^{22}) (2007)</td>
<td>Randomized, single blind, crossover</td>
<td>n = 10</td>
<td>Physically active, heat-acclimatized men</td>
<td>Cycle ergometer, 30-min warm-up, 6 × 10-s maximal sprints (35(^\circ)C, 60%)</td>
<td>Creatine: 20 g·d(^{-1}) for 6 d; placebo: 20 g·d(^{-1}) maltodextrin</td>
<td>No differences in (T_e)</td>
<td>↑ BM with creatine (1.30 kg), sweat losses not different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easton et al(^{10}) (2007)</td>
<td>Randomized, double blind</td>
<td>Creatine, n = 12; placebo, n = 12</td>
<td>Endurance-trained men</td>
<td>Cycle ergometer, 40 min, 63% maximal work rate (30(^\circ)C, 70%)</td>
<td>Two 7-d, twice-daily regimens: Creatine: 10 g; placebo: 85 g glucose, plus 1 g·kg(^{-1}) glycerol or placebo</td>
<td>↓ (T_e) Postexercise versus pre-exercise with creatine ((P &lt; .01))</td>
<td>Creatine: ↑ BM, TBW, ICW, ECW; no difference in sweat rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch et al(^{17}) (2007)</td>
<td>Randomized, double blind, crossover</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
<td>Competitive male cyclists and triathletes</td>
<td>Cycle ergometer, three 1-h sessions, approximately 66% (V_{O_2 max}) (38(^\circ)C, 33%)</td>
<td>Creatine: 20 g·d(^{-1}) for 5 d; placebo: 20 g·d(^{-1}) dextrose; ≥28-d washout</td>
<td>Myppanic temperature not a valid measure for exercising individuals</td>
<td>No difference in pre-exercise BM or postexercise % dehydration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson et al(^{16}) (2006)</td>
<td>Randomized, double blind, crossover</td>
<td>n = 12</td>
<td>Non–heat-acclimated, active males</td>
<td>120 min of alternating treadmill, cycling, approximately 37.1% (V_{O_2 max}) (33.5(^\circ)C, 41%)</td>
<td>Creatine: 21.6 g monohydrate, 7 d; placebo: 21.6 g, 7 d; 48 ± 10-d washout</td>
<td>No differences in (T_e)</td>
<td>Creatine: ↑ BM, TBW, ICW, ECW; sweat losses not different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weiss and Powers(^{17}) (2006)</td>
<td>Randomized, double blind</td>
<td>Creatine, n = 12; placebo, n = 12</td>
<td>Aerobically trained males</td>
<td>Stationary cycling, 60 min, 70% maximum heart rate (37(^\circ)C)</td>
<td>Creatine: 25 g·d(^{-1}) for 5 d; placebo: isocaloric capsules</td>
<td>No differences in (T_{GI})</td>
<td>Creatine: ↑ TBW, ICW, ECW; sweat losses not different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendel et al(^{12}) (2005)</td>
<td>Double blind</td>
<td>Creatine, n = 8; placebo, n = 8</td>
<td>15 Untrained but recreationally active males, 1 female</td>
<td>Cycle ergometer, 40 min, 55% (V_{O_2 max}) (39(^\circ)C)</td>
<td>Creatine: 20 g·d(^{-1}) for 5 d; placebo: 10 g·d(^{-1}) powdered cellulose for 5 d</td>
<td>With creatine, (T_{re}) lower than with placebo at 40 min but not significant</td>
<td>Creatine: ↑ BM (1.4 kg) presupplementation to postsupplementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiduff et al(^{11}) (2004)</td>
<td>Randomized, double blind</td>
<td>Creatine, n = 11; placebo, n = 10</td>
<td>Endurance-trained, non–heat-acclimated males</td>
<td>2 Cycle ergometer tests to exhaustion, 47 min, 63% (V_{O_2 max}) (30.3(^\circ)C)</td>
<td>Creatine: 20 g·d(^{-1}) for 7 d; placebo: 160 g·d(^{-1}) glucose for 7 d (polymer)</td>
<td>(T_{re}) lower at 35 min, 40 min, and exhaustion postsupplementation versus presupplementation supplementation ((P &lt; .01))</td>
<td>Creatine: ↑ BM, TBW, ICW; ↓ sweat rate postsupplementation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Participant Characteristics</th>
<th>Exercise Protocol and Environment (Temperature, Relative Humidity)</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Body Temperature Differences (Creatine Versus No Creatine)</th>
<th>Difference in Hydration Variables</th>
<th>PEDro Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Powers et al(^{13}) (2003)</td>
<td>Randomized, double blind</td>
<td>Creatine, n = 16; placebo, n = 16</td>
<td>Resistance-trained males and females</td>
<td>Maintained their resistance-training programs, kept log of repetitions, sets, resistance</td>
<td>Creatine: 25 g·d(^{-1}) for 7 d, then 5 g·d(^{-1}) for 21 d; placebo: sucrose</td>
<td>No thermoregulatory measures reported</td>
<td>Creatine: greater urinary creatine; women: greater urinary creatine, 7 and 28 d; ↑ BM presupplementation to postsupplementation; greater TBW than placebo at 7 and 28 d</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kern et al(^{10}) (2001)</td>
<td>Randomized, double blind</td>
<td>Creatine, n = 10; placebo, n = 10</td>
<td>Healthy, college-aged, moderately to highly active males</td>
<td>Cycle ergometer, 60 min, 60% (\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}) (37°C, 25%)</td>
<td>Creatine: 21 g·d(^{-1}) for 5 d, then 10 g·d(^{-1}) for 23 d; placebo: Phosphagen HP matrix minus creatine</td>
<td>Creatine: (T_{re}) 0.37°C lower than presupplementation, creatine: (T_{re}) 0.20°C lower than placebo ((P = .022))</td>
<td>Creatine: ↑ BM, TBW</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volek et al(^{15}) (2001)</td>
<td>Randomized, double blind</td>
<td>Creatine, n = 10; placebo, n = 10</td>
<td>Healthy men</td>
<td>Cycle ergometer, 30 min, continuous 10-s maximum sprints, 60%—70% (\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}) (37°C, 80%)</td>
<td>Creatine: 0.3 g·kg(^{-1}) for 7 d; placebo: powdered cellulose</td>
<td>No differences in (T_{re})</td>
<td>Creatine: ↑ BM (0.75 kg), TBW presupplementation to postsupplementation, serum creatinine after 1 wk</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BM, body mass; ECW, extracellular water; ICW, intracellular water; TBW, total body water; \(T_{GI}\), gastrointestinal temperature; \(T_{re}\), rectal temperature.
information is sufficient to interpret the results. The PEDro Scale contains 11 items, 10 of which contribute to the score (Table 1). Each yes response is worth 1 point, whereas a no response is worth 0 points, for a maximum possible of 10 points. The PEDro Scale or variations of this scale have been used in other systematic reviews as a means of determining the quality of controlled trials.

The PEDro scores for the 15 articles ranged from 3 to 10 points. Scores were recorded, and the 2 investigators met to review any discrepancies. The interrater agreement for the PEDro scores of the 2 investigators was initially moderate (k = 0.530); however, after the investigators reviewed the scores, they reached full consensus (k = 1.00). Five articles were excluded because of their low PEDro scores (less than 7 of 10 points), resulting from lack of participant blinding or lack of focus on thermoregulatory measures. The PEDro scores for the 10 selected articles ranged from 7 to 10 points.

**Statistical Analysis**

We quantified the effect of creatine ingestion on thermoregulation by performing a meta-analysis of the body temperature data using RevMan software (version 5.0; The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark). RevMan was used for calculating the $\chi^2$ distribution to determine heterogeneity and to test for overall effect, weighted averages, mean differences, effect estimates, and 95% confidence intervals. Weighted percent- ages were based on the studies’ sample sizes. We used SPSS software (version 16.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) to determine interrater agreement for the PEDro scores.

**RESULTS**

**Data Synthesis**

The focus of our search was to determine what differences, if any, were present between participants supplementing with creatine and those supplementing with placebo with regard to the following dependent variables: a valid body temperature assessment (eg, rectal [$T_{re}$] temperature or gastrointestinal [$T_{GI}$]), body mass, total body water (TBW), intracellular water (ICW), extracellular water (ECW), heart rate, and urinary and plasma measures (Table 2).

Wright et al examined the effects of 6 days of creatine loading on thermoregulation in a hot, humid environment (35°C, 60% relative humidity) during a sprint performance on a cycle ergometer. Creatine loading resulted in increased body mass (+1.30 kg) compared with the placebo condition ($P < .05$). Although the exercise bout resulted in increased core temperature, loss of body water, and a change in plasma volume, these measures were not different between the creatine and placebo conditions.

Easton et al investigated the effects of combined creatine and glycerol supplementation on responses to exercise in the heat. Although these authors looked at combining creatine with glycerol supplementation, we focused on the interaction effects between the creatine and placebo conditions only. Body mass, TBW, ICW, and ECW were increased compared with placebo. However, no differences were noted in total sweat losses between the conditions. After supplementation, $T_{re}$ was lower during exercise in the creatine condition ($P < .01$).

Branch et al examined the effects of creatine supplementation on competitive male cyclists and triathletes while cycling in the heat (38.7°C). No differences were seen between the creatine and placebo groups for heart rate or rating of perceived exertion (RPE). Postexercise, plasma volume decreased in the baseline and placebo conditions compared with the creatine condition ($P = .013$). Fluid consumed, exercise-induced dehydration, and pre-exercise and postexercise body mass were not different between conditions. Branch et al used tympanic temperature, which is not a valid measure of body temperature.

Watson el examined the effects of 1 week of creatine supplementation on hydration status, thermoregulation, and incidence of heat illness in dehydrated men exercising in a hot environment. Body mass and sweat losses during exercise were not different between conditions; however, an interaction was demonstrated in the body mass change from day 1 to day 7 of creatine supplementation ($P = .015$). Urine specific gravity was higher for the creatine group before ($P = .030$) dehydration and pre-exercise ($P = .004$) and postexercise ($P = .009$) heat tolerance test. Compared with placebo, plasma osmolality was higher during creatine supplementation before ($P = .032$) and after ($P = .015$) dehydration, as well as 20 minutes into recovery ($P = .008$).

The investigation of Weiss and Powers consisted of a 5-day supplementation period followed by a 60-minute bout of exercise in a warm environment. Aerobically trained males exhibited no differences in heart rate or sweat losses. Group × day interactions were observed for TBW ($P = .004$), ICW ($P = .046$), and ECW ($P = .005$), with the creatine group experiencing an increase in each of the 3 body water volumes. No $T_{GI}$ differences were found between groups ($P = .87$).

Mendel et al investigated the effects of creatine on thermoregulatory responses during exercise in a hot environment (39°C). Five days of creatine supplementation resulted in a 1.4-kg increase in body mass postsupplementation ($P = .013$). Although not different, $T_{re}$ was lower at 40 minutes of exercise for the creatine group.

Kilduff et al examined the effects of creatine on thermoregulatory, cardiovascular, and metabolic responses during exercise in the heat in endurance-trained males. Body mass, TBW, and ICW increased in the creatine group. After supplementation, heart rate was lower in the creatine group from 35 minutes of exercise until exhaustion ($P = .044$). Compared with presupplementation, postsupplementation $T_{re}$ was lower at 35 minutes and 40 minutes and at exhaustion ($P = .012$). Sweat rate also was reduced after creatine supplementation (32.3 ± 7.0 mL · min⁻¹ versus 28.2 ± 3.9 mL · min⁻¹, $P = .02$).

Powers et al examined the effects of 28 days of creatine supplementation on fluid distribution. The creatine group had greater body mass from presupplementation to day 28 ($P = .44$). Compared with placebo, the creatine group had greater TBW volume on days 7 and 28 ($P = .027$), with no differences in ECW and ICW ($P = .366$).

Kern et al examined hydration status and indicators of heat tolerance after 28 days of creatine supplementation. In the creatine condition, body mass ($P = .034$) and TBW increased ($P = .050$). Compared with presupplementation,
postsupplementation $T_{re}$ for the creatine group was 0.37°C lower; compared with the placebo group, postsupplementation $T_{re}$ was 0.20°C lower ($P = .022$ for differences from presupplementation to postsupplementation between the creatine and placebo groups). No differences were noted in heart rate responses to exercise in the heat between conditions.

Volek et al.\textsuperscript{15} provided participants with 7 days of creatine supplementation. Body mass increased 1 week postsupplementation (0.75 kg, $P = .05$). Body mass losses and sweat rate during 35 minutes of exercise in the heat (38°C) were not different between groups ($P > .05$). Plasma volume changes, $T_{re}$, heart rate, and RPE were not different between groups ($P > .05$). Urinary volumes over 24 hours tended to be greater for the creatine group, but were only significantly greater than placebo on day 3 of supplementation ($P < .05$).

**Meta-Analysis Test Outcomes**

The test for heterogeneity was not significant: $\chi^2_{27} = 10.9$, $P = .14$. Using a fixed-effects inverse variance analysis model, the test for overall effect was not significant ($Z = 0.56$, $P = .57$). With the 8 studies (n = 167) included in the meta-analysis, the effect estimate = 0.03 (95% confidence interval = −0.07, 0.13). Weighted averages, mean differences, and 95% confidence intervals are reported in Table 3 and Figure 3.

**DISCUSSION**

Despite anecdotal reports of creatine side effects in athletes exercising in the heat, none of the 10 studies\textsuperscript{7,8,10–13,15–17,22} showed detriments in thermoregulatory or hydration variables, including body temperature regulation, percentage of dehydration, urinary hydration measures, plasma volume, or sweat losses (Table 2).

**Participant Characteristics, Exercise Protocols, Environmental Conditions, and Creatine Dosing**

The similarities and differences among participant characteristics, exercise protocols, and environmental conditions are illustrated in Table 2. Variations in methods did not affect the influence of creatine supplementation.

The amount of creatine consumed was similar among trials (20–25 g · d\textsuperscript{−1}), whereas the supplementation duration varied (5–28 days). Despite variations in dosages, the

### Table 3. Differences in Body Temperature (°C) Between Creatine Versus No Creatine\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight, %</th>
<th>IV, Fixed, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Easton et al (2007)\textsuperscript{6}</td>
<td>39.20 ± 0.50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>39.05 ± 0.50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.15 [−0.26, 0.56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kern et al (2001)\textsuperscript{10}</td>
<td>38.25 ± 0.35</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>38.45 ± 0.35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>−0.20 [−0.52, 0.12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilduff et al (2004)\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>39.25 ± 0.40</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39.50 ± 0.55</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>−0.25 [−0.66, 0.16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendel et al (2005)\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>38.10 ± 0.40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38.40 ± 0.30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>−0.30 [−0.85, 0.05]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volek et al (2001)\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>38.57 ± 0.07</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38.39 ± 0.26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>0.18 [0.01, 0.35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson et al (2006)\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td>39.40 ± 0.40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>39.30 ± 0.40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.10 [−0.22, 0.42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weiss and Powers (2006)\textsuperscript{17}</td>
<td>38.44 ± 0.42</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>38.42 ± 0.29</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.02 [−0.27, 0.31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright et al (2007)\textsuperscript{22}</td>
<td>38.07 ± 0.47</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38.04 ± 0.56</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.03 [−0.42, 0.48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.03 [−0.07, 0.13]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance; SD, standard deviation.

\textsuperscript{a} Based on a fixed-effects model. No relationship was noted between treatment effects and body temperature (°C), as shown by 95% CI. Weighted percentages were based on sample sizes. Effect estimate = 0.03 (95% CI = −0.07, 0.13). Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 10.90$, degrees of freedom = 7 ($P = .14$); $I^2 = 36\%$. Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.56$ ($P = .57$).

---

**Figure 3.** Differences in body temperature between creatine versus no creatine as described in Table 3. Based on a fixed-effects model, no relationship was noted between treatment effects and body temperature, as shown by 95% confidence intervals. Weighted percentages were based on sample sizes. Effect estimate = 0.03 (95% confidence interval = −0.07, 0.13).
results of the 10 studies were similar with regard to changes in body mass and body temperature. None of the studies included in this review (Table 2) involved creatine supplementation for longer than 28 days. One of the greatest concerns about creatine use is that few authors have examined its long-term effects. Although Kreider et al. examined the effects of creatine dosages over a 21-month period, they did not focus on markers of hydration or thermoregulation. Further studies involving long-term creatine supplementation and its effects on hydration and thermoregulation are necessary to determine possible adverse effects.

Effects on Body Temperature

Six of the 10 groups reported no differences between creatine and placebo in body temperature while exercising in the heat (Table 3). However, one group measured tympanic temperature, which was mentioned as a limitation of the study because it does not validly represent central body temperature in exercising individuals. In 3 of the 10 studies, the tympanic temperature (Tm) was lower after creatine supplementation. Although the finding was not significant, one group also reported lower Tm for creatine (compared with placebo) after 40 minutes of exercise. The decreased body temperature in these studies could be attributed to factors such as increases in body mass and TBW, because the findings were similar (Table 2), but how an increase in these measures would attenuate a rise in body temperature is unclear. None of these authors found an increase in sweat rate, which might have improved thermoregulation.

These results indicate that creatine supplementation was not a detriment to thermoregulation while exercising in the heat when supplementation took place over the course of 5 to 28 days. Many researchers have questioned whether creatine supplementation hinders thermoregulation and predisposes an athlete to exertional heat stroke (Figure 1). However, this review demonstrates no differences in body temperature with creatine supplementation, and some groups even showed that creatine attenuated the rise in body temperature during exercise in the heat. During severe dehydration, the osmotic influence of creatine could be trumped by hyperosmotic extracellular fluid (ECF), resulting in excess fluid entering the ECF and possibly decreasing the strain on thermoregulation, as depicted in Figure 1.

Effects on Hydration Measures

Previous authors have found that acute creatine ingestion elevated TBW and ICF but had no effect on ECW after 3 days of supplementation. These results are similar to those of some of the reviewed studies, in which authors reported increases in TBW, ICW, and ECW and increases in ICW but not ECW. Although other researchers found no differences in sweat rate with creatine supplementation, only one group noted a decrease in sweat rate with creatine supplementation.

The most common effect of creatine supplementation found in the literature has been a change in body mass, but this finding does not seem to alter exercise sweat rates. Investigators on 9 of the 10 studies in this review reported an increase in body mass as a result of creatine supplementation. Changes in total body mass could be detrimental in sports that depend on specific body weights (ie, wrestling, gymnastics). More importantly, changes in body mass and TBW have been the cause of reservations regarding thermoregulation (Figure 1). Several authors have found increases in TBW as a result of creatine supplementation; none of these resulted in signs or symptoms of heat illness or impaired thermoregulation. Further, it has been suggested that these increases in TBW and ICW might actually assist in maintaining or improving thermoregulation. Results from earlier researchers noted osmotic fluid shifts within as few as 3 days of creatine supplementation. However, Casa et al. found that after 10 days of supplementation, creatine did not alter fluid distribution or promote an osmotic fluid shift between fluid compartments. Furthermore, authors investigating National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I-A college football players found that the incidence of cramping or injury for creatine users was lower than or proportional to that of non-creatin users. The different TBW, ICW, and ECW changes can be attributed to variations in the amount and duration of creatine dosages as well as to differences in methods (Table 2). The variations in hydration outcome measures made it difficult to identify the influence of creatine supplementation on fluid balance; however, based on these studies, creatine does not seem to impair hydration status or thermoregulation. Furthermore, the findings from the objective approach used in this systematic review seem to reiterate the findings reported in a recent review on this topic.

PEDro Scale

We selected the PEDro Scale to assess the quality of relevant articles. The PEDro Scale is intended to identify controlled, unbiased experimental trials to ensure internal validity and to determine if the results of the research can be interpreted. One can conclude that the higher the PEDro score a study received, the better the study quality and the greater the likelihood that the results are a valid estimate of the truth. The scores we generated were relatively high in comparison with PEDro scores of other systematic reviews. This result could be attributed to (1) a recent increase in higher-quality, randomized, controlled trials in the areas of thermoregulation and hydration, as well as (2) 3 points in the scale reflecting blinding of participants. Authors of controlled studies in other systematic reviews may have not been able to blind their participants or researchers to the treatment given.

CONCLUSIONS

No substantial evidence currently exists showing that creatine supplementation hinders the body's ability to dissipate heat or body fluid balance when appropriate doses are consumed. Controlled experimental trials of athletes exercising in the heat over a short period of time resulted in no adverse effects from creatine supplementation. Future researchers should include longer supplementation periods, exercise bouts that simulate a game or practice situation (ie, greater than 60 minutes in duration), and more controlled field studies.

As clinicians working with athletes on a daily basis, athletic trainers, other allied health professionals, and
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